



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/697,120                                           | 10/31/2003                 | Michael Schmidt      | Q78116 8458         |                  |  |
| 23373<br>SUCHDUE MI                                  | 7590 11/15/2007<br>ON PLIC |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC<br>2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. |                            |                      | LE, THI Q           |                  |  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTO                               | N. DC 20037                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                                      | ,                          |                      | 2613                |                  |  |
|                                                      |                            |                      |                     | <del></del> -1   |  |
|                                                      |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                                      |                            |                      | 11/15/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Applicant(s)   |
|----------------|
| SCHMIDT ET AL. |
| Art Unit       |
| 2613           |
|                |

| Thi Q. (                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Le                                                                | 2613                                                      |                                           |  |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | he cover sheet with the c                                         | orrespondence add                                         | ress                                      |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | TION IN CONDITION FOR                                             | ALLOWANCE.                                                |                                           |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam this application, applicant must timely file one of the following repl places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 time periods:                                                                                                                       | ies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>ppeal (with appeal fee) in c        | idavit, or other eviden<br>compliance with 37 CF          | ce, which<br>R 41.31; or (3)              |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | •                                                                 |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY                                                                                                                                                                                                 | SIX MONTHS from the mailing                                       | g date of the final rejection                             | on.                                       |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | , ,                                                               |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount<br>I statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office     | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance we filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS                                                                                                                                                                                                         | ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to                                       | avoid dismissal of the                                    | s of the date of<br>e appeal. Since       |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | to the date of filing a brief                                     | will not be entered by                                    | acsuse                                    |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                   |                                                           | cause                                     |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | ,                                                                 | ,,                                                        |                                           |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form<br>appeal; and/or                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                   |                                                           | he issues for                             |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspond                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | onding number of finally rej                                      | ected claims.                                             |                                           |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | -Marked Nation of Non-Co                                          |                                                           | DTOL 224)                                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | attached Notice of Non-Co                                         | mpliant Amendment (                                       | PTOL-324).                                |  |  |
| <ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | if submitted in a separate,                                       | timely filed amendme                                      | nt canceling the                          |  |  |
| 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will rehow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                   | l be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                             |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                   | •                                                         |                                           |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                   |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                   |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                   |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                    | or on the date of filing a Ne<br>ent reasons why the affiday      | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>it or other evidence is | t be entered<br>necessary and             |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | e <u>all</u> rejections under appe                                | al and/or appellant fai                                   | ls to provide a                           |  |  |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and wa                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | •                                                                 | , , ,                                                     | •                                         |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | status of the claims after e                                      | ntry is below or attacr                                   | lea.                                      |  |  |
| 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | NOT place the application in                                      | n condition for allowar                                   | ice because:                              |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SI                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 3/08) Paper No(s)                                                 |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                   |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
| / K /                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                   |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                   |                                                           |                                           |  |  |
| SOI ENVISORY PATE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | NIEXAMINER                                                        |                                                           |                                           |  |  |

Art Unit: 2613

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. On pages 2-4 the applicant argues, isolating the optical pulses before separating the pulses of different polarizations allows a relaxation of the constraints imposed on the OTDM demultiplexer. This is because components in the isolated pulses that result from interchannel interference can be large eliminated by the subsequent polarization selective element. With respect to the applicant's argument above, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Further, the applicant argues, a demultiplexer with a greater switching window can be used, and the extinction ratio of the demultiplexer can be reduced. Again, this feature is not claimed in the rejected claim; also, the statement speculatively stated "a demultiplexer with greater switching window can be used", thus it is not necessary that such demultiplexer is used.

The applicant further argues, Widdowson does not teach or suggest "a polarization selective element for separating from the isolated pulses at least one component that has a single polarization"; and that it would not have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to reverse the order of the polarizer and demultiplexer for the receiver of Widdowson. The examiner disagrees, since Widdowson clearly discloses the combination of a polarizer and demultiplexer was use for isolation optical pulses and the polarization separation of optical pulses (figure 3; page 880, left column, second paragraph). Further, since the functionalities of

Application/Control Number: 10/697,120 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

applicant's argument.

the polarizer and the demultiplexer are not dependent upon each other; thus there functionalities would not have been affected by their placement within the receiver. That is, a polarizer always has a function of separating the polarization states and the demultiplexer always has a function of demultiplexing, regardless of the placement of the devices within the receiver. Thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the same result is achieved when placing the polarizer after the demultiplexer or placing the polarizer before the demultiplexer. The use of In re Japikse in the previous rejection is withdrawn in light of In re Ochiai as pointed out in the

## Conclusion

3. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed to**:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Thi Le whose telephone number is (571) 270-1104. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,120

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Thi Le

KENNETH VANDEHPUTE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER